REMARKS

The claims have been amended to more clearly define the invention as disclosed in the written description. In particular, the claims have been amended to correct typographical errors, and to place them in proper U.S. format.

Applicants submit that the above changes are editorial in nature only, and do not affect the scope of the claims.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-19 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of co-pending U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/570,289, filed February 28, 2006.

Enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer referencing U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/570,289.

Applicant believes that this application, containing claims 1-19, is now in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

by <u>/Edward W. Goodman/</u>
Edward W. Goodman, Reg. 28,613
Attorney
Tel.: 914-333-9611